UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,013	08/05/2005	.Stephen Temple	27754/24867	9262
	7590 07/30/200 GERSTEIN & BORLIN		EXAMINER	
MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 SEARS TOWER	NGUYEN, LAM S			
CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2853	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		TH
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Realism Services	10/520,013	TEMPLE, STEPHEN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
71. 004H INO DATE - 11	LAM S. NGUYEN	2853
The MAILING DATE of this communication and for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ition. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AR	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
tatus		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 May 2007.	
. —	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	illowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
isposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-24 is/are with		
5) Claim(s) 31 and 32 is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>25-30 and 33-37</u> is/are rejected		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 200	04 is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	· · · · ·	,
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage
application from the International E		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 25-26, 33-34, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mantell et al. (EP 0 767 061 B1).

Regarding to claims 25, 33:

Mantel et al. discloses a method of ink jet printing a representation on a print medium of an array of print data pixels (FIG. 12: Each ink drop forms a pixel) comprising the steps of distributing print data from said array of print data pixels over an array of super pixels (FIG. 12, element 124: The super pixels is formed by an overlapped pair of ink drops) in a distribution function such that each super pixel receives a print data contribution from at least two print data pixels (FIG. 12, element 124: Because each super pixels is formed by an overlapped pair of ink drops, print data for a super pixel is contributed from print data corresponding to the pair of ink drops) and each print data pixel contributes print data to at least two super pixels (FIG. 12: As shown by reference 126, each ink drop (pixel) overlaps with at least two other ink drops (pixels); In other word, print data associated to each ink drop (pixel) contributes print data to at least two or three super pixels); and forming print pixels on the medium such that each print pixel receives print contribution from at least two super pixels (FIG. 12: Regarding to reference 126, because

Art Unit: 2853

each ink drop (pixel) joins to other adjacent ink drops to form at least two super pixels, each ink drop receives contribution from those two super pixels).

Page 3

Regarding to claims 26, 34: wherein each super pixel receives a print data contribution from at least three print data pixels (FIG. 13-14).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 27-30, 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mantell et al. (EP 0 767 061 B1) in view of Silverbrook (WO 02/02330 A1).

Mantell et al. discloses the claimed invention as discussed above except wherein the print data contribution varies in sign between said print data pixels, wherein the at least two super pixels from which a print pixel receives print contribution, receive print data contributions from different combinations of print data pixels, further comprising the step of measuring the print efficiency of each super pixel, distribution function includes comprising distributing the measured print efficiency as print data.

Silverbrook discloses a printing method operated in an ink jet printing apparatus in which super pixels are formed on a printing medium accordantly to print efficiency by contributing from different combination or varying in sign of print data pixels (FIGs. 3 and 5: The super pixels are formed by combination of multiple pixels 24 accordantly to the print efficiency due to the defective failure of a nozzle).

Art Unit: 2853

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Mantell's printing apparatus to include measuring print efficiency and forming pixels accordantly as disclosed by Silverbrook. The motivation for doing so would have been to compensate for failure of ink ejection from a nozzle to correctly print dots of ink at specific locations as taught by Silverbrook (*page 1, lines 28-30*).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 31-32 are allowed and the reason for allowance was indicated in the previous office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 05/07/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's argument, the examiner cites that because the claim language does not define wherein print data for forming a super pixel receiving print data contribution from at least two print data pixels, "each super pixel receives a print data contribution from at least two print data pixels and each print data pixel contributes print data to at least two super pixels" can be simply and broadly interpreted as each super pixel is formed/contributed by at least two pixels associated with print data and a pixel associated with print data contributes to the formation of at least two super pixels. As a result, Mantel et al.'s disclosure reads on the claim language in light of such interpretation.

In addition, the applicant argued that Mantel et al.'s invention would not obtain the advantages of the applicant's invention such as compensating of a failure of a printed pixel

Art Unit: 2853

resulting a gap in the image. It is the examiner's point of view that since the claim does not include language to define such advantage, the consideration of such advantage is not required.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAM S. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-2151. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00AM - 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, STEPHEN D. MEIER can be reached on (571)272-2149. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 10/520,013

Art Unit: 2853

Page 6

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LAM SON NGUYEN